

ON THE ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM OF COMPLETE NON-COMPACT MANIFOLDS

ZHIQIN LU AND DETANG ZHOU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that the L^p essential spectra of the Laplacian on functions are $[0, +\infty)$ on a non-compact complete Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature at infinity. The similar method applies to gradient shrinking Ricci soliton, which is similar to non-compact manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature in many ways.

1. INTRODUCTION

The spectra of Laplacians on a complete non-compact manifold provide important geometric and topological information of the manifold. In the past two decades, the essential spectra of Laplacians on functions were computed for a large class of manifolds. When the manifold has a soul and the exponential map is a diffeomorphism, Escobar [9] and Escobar-Freire [10] proved that the L^2 spectrum of the Laplacian is $[0, +\infty)$, provided that the sectional curvature is nonnegative and the manifold satisfies some additional conditions. In [17], the second author proved that those “additional conditions” are superfluous. When the manifold has a pole, J. Li [12] proved that the L^2 essential spectrum is $[0, +\infty)$, if the Ricci curvature of the manifold is non-negative. Z. Chen and the first author [6] proved the same result when the radical sectional curvature is nonnegative. Among the other results in his paper [8], Donnelly proved that the essential spectrum is $[0, +\infty)$ for manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature and Euclidean volume growth.

In 1997, J-P. Wang [16] proved that, if the Ricci curvature of a manifold M satisfies $\text{Ric}(M) \geq -\delta/r^2$, where r is the distance to a fixed point, and δ is a positive number depending only on the dimension, then the L^p essential spectrum of M is $[0, +\infty)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$. In particular, for a complete non-compact manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature, all L^p spectra are $[0, +\infty)$.

Complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton, which was introduced as singularity model of type I singularities of the Ricci flow, has many similar

Date: November 22, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 58J50; Secondary: 58E30.

The first author is partially supported by the NSF award DMS-0904653. The second author is partially supported by CNPq and Faperj of Brazil.

properties to complete non-compact manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. From this point of view, we expect the conclusion of Wang's result is true for a larger class of manifolds, including gradient shrinking Ricci solitons.

The first result of this paper is a generalization of Wang's theorem [16].

Theorem 1. *Let M be a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold. Assume that*

$$(1) \quad \varliminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ric}_M(x) = 0.$$

Then the L^p essential spectrum of M is $[0, +\infty)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$.

It should be pointed out that, contrary to the L^2 spectrum, the L^p spectrum of Laplacian may contain non-real numbers. Our proof made essential use of the following result due to Sturm [15]:

Theorem 2 (Sturm). *Let M be a complete non-compact manifold whose Ricci curvature has a lower bound. If the volume of M grows uniformly sub-exponentially, then the L^p essential spectra are the same for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.*

We say that the volume of M grows *uniformly sub-exponentially*, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(\varepsilon)$ such that, for all $r > 0$ and all $p \in M$,

$$(2) \quad \text{vol}(B_p(r)) \leq C(\varepsilon) e^{\varepsilon r} \text{vol}(B_p(1)),$$

where we denote $B_p(r)$ the ball of radius r centered at p .

Remark 1. Note that by the above definition, a manifold with finite volume may not automatically be a manifold of volume growing uniformly sub-exponentially. For example, consider a manifold whose only end is a cusp and the metric $dr^2 + e^{-r}d\theta^2$ on the end $S^1 \times [1, +\infty)$. The volume of such a manifold is finite. However, since the volume of the unit ball centered at any point p decays exponentially, it doesn't satisfy (2).

Remark 2. The assumption that the Ricci curvature has a lower bound is not explicitly stated in Sturm's paper, but is needed in the proof of Theorem 2.

In [15, Proposition 1], it is proved that if (1) is true, then the volume of the manifold grows uniformly sub-exponentially. Thus in order to prove Theorem 1, we only need to compute the L^1 spectrum of the manifold.

Using the recent volume estimates obtained by H. Cao and the second author [3], we proved that the essential L^1 spectrum of any complete gradient shrinking soliton contains the half line $[0, +\infty)$ (see Theorem 6). Combining with Sturm's Theorem we have the following

Theorem 3. *Let M be a complete noncompact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton. If the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds for M , then the L^p essential spectrum of M is $[0, +\infty)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$.*

Finally, under additional curvature conditions, we proved

Theorem 4. *Let (M, g_{ij}, f) be a complete shrinking Ricci soliton. If*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{R}{r^2(x)} = 0,$$

then the L^2 essential spectrum is $[0, +\infty)$.

We believe that the scalar curvature assumption in the above theorem is technical and could be removed. From [3] the average of scalar curvature is bounded and we know no examples of shrinking solitons with unbounded scalar curvature.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

Let p_0 be a fixed point of M . Let ρ be the distance function to p_0 . Let $\delta(r)$ be a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

- (a.) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \delta(r) = 0$;
- (b.) $\delta(r) > 0$;
- (c.) $\text{Ric}(x) \geq -(n-1)\delta(r)$, if $\rho(x) \geq r$.

Note that $\delta(r)$ is a decreasing continuous function. The following lemma is standard:

Lemma 1. *With the assumption (1), we have*

$$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Delta \rho \leq 0$$

in the sense of distribution.

Proof. Let g be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g''(r) - \delta(r)g(r) = 0 \\ g(0) = 0 \\ g'(0) = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then by the Laplacian comparison theorem, we have

$$\Delta \rho(x) \leq (n-1)g'(\rho(x))/g(\rho(x)),$$

in the sense of distribution. The proof of the lemma will be completed if we can show that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g'(r)}{g(r)} = 0.$$

By the definition of $g(r)$, we have $g(r) \geq 0$ and $g(r)$ is convex. Thus $g(r) \rightarrow +\infty$, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. By the L'Hospital Principal, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(g'(r))^2}{(g(r))^2} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2g'(r)g''(r)}{2g(r)g'(r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \delta(r) = 0,$$

and this completes the proof of the lemma. □

Without loss of generality, for the rest of this paper, we assume that

$$\frac{g'(r)}{g(r)} \leq \delta(r)$$

for all $r > 0$.

The following result is well-known:

Proposition 1. *There exists a C^∞ function $\tilde{\rho}$ on M such that*

- (a). $|\tilde{\rho} - \rho| + |\nabla \tilde{\rho} - \nabla \rho| \leq \delta(\rho(x))$, and
- (b). $\Delta \tilde{\rho} \leq 2\delta(\rho(x) - 1)$,

for any $x \in M$ with $\rho(x) > 2$.

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}$ be a locally finite cover of M and let $\{\psi_i\}$ be the partition of unity subordinating to the cover. Let $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_i^1, \dots, x_i^n)$ be the local coordinates of U_i . Define $\rho_i = \rho|_{U_i}$.

Let $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ be a non-negative smooth function whose support is within the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi = 1.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that all U_i are open subset of the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with coordinates $\mathbf{x}_i$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\rho_{i,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{y}_i}{\varepsilon} \right) \rho_i(\mathbf{y}_i) d\mathbf{y}_i$$

is a smooth function on U_i and hence on M . Let

$$K(x) = \sum_i (|\Delta \psi_i| + 2|\nabla \psi_i|) + 1.$$

Then $K(x)$ is a smooth positive function on M . On each U_i , we choose ε_i small enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} - \rho_i| &\leq \delta(\rho(x))/K(x); \\ |\nabla \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} - \nabla \rho_i| &\leq \delta(\rho(x))/K(x); \\ \Delta \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} &\leq \delta(\rho(x) - 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Here Lemma 1 is used in the last inequality above. We define

$$\tilde{\rho} = \sum_i \psi_i \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i}.$$

The proof follows from the standard method: let's only prove (b). in the proposition. Since

$$\Delta \tilde{\rho} = \sum_i \Delta \psi_i \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} + 2\nabla \psi_i \nabla \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} + \psi_i \Delta \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i},$$

we have

$$\Delta \tilde{\rho} = \sum_i \Delta \psi_i (\rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} - \rho_i) + 2\nabla \psi_i (\nabla \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} - \nabla \rho_i) + \psi_i \Delta \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i}.$$

By (3), we have

$$\Delta \tilde{\rho} \leq \delta(\rho(x)) + \delta(\rho(x) - 1),$$

and the proposition is proved. $\square$

Let

$$V(r) = \text{vol}(B_{p_0}(r))$$

for any $r > 0$.

The main result of this section is

Lemma 2. *Assume that (1) is valid. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $R_1 > 0$ such that for $r > R_1$, we have the following*

(a). *If $\text{vol}(M) = +\infty$, then*

$$\int_{B_{p_0}(r) \setminus B_{p_0}(R_1)} |\Delta \tilde{\rho}| \leq 2\varepsilon V(r) + 2\text{vol}(\partial B_{p_0}(R_1));$$

(b). *If $\text{vol}(M) < +\infty$, then*

$$\int_{M \setminus B_{p_0}(r)} |\Delta \tilde{\rho}| \leq 2\varepsilon(\text{vol}(M) - V(r)) + 2\text{vol}(\partial B_{p_0}(r)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 1, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, we can find R_1 large enough such that

$$\Delta \tilde{\rho} < \varepsilon$$

for $x \in M \setminus B_{p_0}(R_1)$. Thus $|\Delta \tilde{\rho}| \leq 2\varepsilon - \Delta \tilde{\rho}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{p_0}(R_2) \setminus B_{p_0}(r)} |\Delta \tilde{\rho}| &\leq 2\varepsilon(V(R_2) - V(r)) \\ &- \int_{\partial B_{p_0}(R_2)} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial n} + \int_{\partial B_{p_0}(r)} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial n} \end{aligned}$$

for any $R_2 > r > R_1$ by the Stokes' Theorem, where $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ is the derivative of the outward normal direction of the boundary $\partial B_{p_0}(r)$. By (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad \int_{B_{p_0}(R_2) \setminus B_{p_0}(r)} |\Delta \tilde{\rho}| &\leq 2\varepsilon(V(R_2) - V(r)) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\text{vol}(\partial B_{p_0}(R_2)) + 2\text{vol}(\partial B_{p_0}(r)). \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{vol}(M) = +\infty$, then we take $R_2 = r, r = R_1$ in the above inequality and we get (a).

If $\text{vol}(M) < +\infty$, taking $R_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ in (4), we get (b). □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section we prove the following result which implies Theorem 1.

Theorem 5. *Let M be a complete non-compact manifold satisfying*

- (1) *the volume of M grows uniformly sub-exponentially;*
- (2) *M satisfies the assertions in Lemma 2.*

Then the L^1 essential spectrum is $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. We essentially follow Wang's proof [16]. First, using the characterization of the essential spectrum (cf. Donnelly [7, Proposition 2.2]), we only need to prove the following: for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ positive and any positive real numbers ε, μ , there exists a smooth function $\xi \neq 0$ such that

- (1) $\text{supp}(\xi) \subset M \setminus B_{p_0}(\mu)$ and is compact;
- (2) $\|\Delta\xi + \lambda\xi\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon\|\xi\|_{L^1}$.

Let R, x, y be big positive real numbers. Assume that $y > x + 2R$ and $x > 2R > 2\mu + 4$. Define a cut-off function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (1) $\text{supp} \psi \subset [x/R - 1, y/R + 1]$;
- (2) $\psi \equiv 1$ on $[x/R, y/R]$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$;
- (3) $|\psi'| + |\psi''| < 10$.

For any given ε, μ and λ , let

$$\varphi = \psi \left(\frac{\tilde{\rho}}{R} \right) e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\tilde{\rho}}.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi &= \left(\frac{1}{R^2} \psi'' |\nabla \tilde{\rho}|^2 + i\sqrt{\lambda} \frac{2}{R} \psi' |\nabla \tilde{\rho}|^2 + (i\sqrt{\lambda}\psi + \frac{\psi'}{R}) \Delta \tilde{\rho} \right) e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\tilde{\rho}} \\ &\quad + \lambda\varphi (-|\nabla \tilde{\rho}|^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1,

$$|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi| \leq \frac{C}{R} + C|\Delta\tilde{\rho}| + C\delta(\rho(x)),$$

where C is a constant depending only on λ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} &\leq \left(\frac{C}{R} + C\delta(x - R) \right) (V(y + R) - V(x - R)) \\ (5) \quad &+ C \int_{B_{p_0}(y+R) - B_{p_0}(x-R)} |\Delta\tilde{\rho}|. \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{vol}(M) = +\infty$, By Lemma 2, if we choose ε/C small enough and R, x big enough and then assume y is large if necessary, we get

$$(6) \quad \|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq 4\varepsilon V(y + R).$$

Note that $\|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq V(y) - V(x)$. If we choose y big enough, then we have

$$(7) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq \frac{1}{2}V(y).$$

We claim that there exists a sequence $y_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $V(y_k + R) \leq 2V(y_k)$. If not, then for a fixed number y , we have

$$V(y + kR) > 2^k V(y)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ positive. On the other hand, by the uniform sub-exponentially growth of the volume, we have

$$2^k V(y) \leq V(y + kR) \leq C(\varepsilon)V(1)e^{\varepsilon(y+kR)}$$

for any k large and for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This is a contradiction if $\varepsilon R < \log 2$. Thus there is a y such that $V(y+R) \leq 2V(y)$, and thus by (6), (7), we have

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq 16\varepsilon\|\varphi\|_{L^1}.$$

The case when M is of infinite volume is proved.

Now we assume that $\text{vol}(M) < +\infty$. Then by Lemma 2 again

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta\psi + \lambda\psi\|_{L^1} &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{R} + 2\varepsilon + \delta(x-R)\right)(\text{vol}(M) - V(x-R)) \\ &\quad + 2C\text{vol}(\partial B_{p_0}(x-R)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $f(r) = \text{vol}(M) - V(r)$. Like above, we choose ε small and R, x big. Then

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq 4\varepsilon f(x-R) - 2Cf'(x-R)$$

for any x, y large enough. On the other hand, we always have

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq f(x) - f(y).$$

Since the volume is finite, we choose y large enough such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq \frac{1}{2}f(x).$$

Similar to the case of $\text{vol}(M) = +\infty$, the theorem is proved if the following statement is true: there is a sequence $x_k \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$2\varepsilon f(x_k - R) - Cf'(x_k - R) \leq 4\varepsilon f(x_k)$$

for all k .

If there doesn't exist such a sequence, then for x large enough, we have

$$2\varepsilon f(x - R) - Cf'(x - R) \geq 4\varepsilon f(x).$$

Replacing ε by ε/C , we have

$$2\varepsilon f(x - R) - f'(x - R) \geq 4\varepsilon f(x),$$

which is equivalent to

$$-(e^{-2\varepsilon x} f(x - R))' \geq 4\varepsilon e^{-2\varepsilon x} f(x).$$

Integrating the expression from x to $x+R$, using the monotonicity of $f(x)$, we get

$$-e^{-2\varepsilon(x+R)} f(x) + e^{-2\varepsilon x} f(x - R) \geq 2e^{-2\varepsilon x} (1 - e^{-2\varepsilon R}) f(x + R),$$

which implies

$$f(x - R) \geq 2(1 - e^{-2\varepsilon R}) f(x + R).$$

Let R be even bigger so that

$$2(1 - e^{-2\varepsilon R}) > \frac{5}{4}.$$

Then we have

$$f(x - R) \geq \frac{5}{4} f(x + R)$$

for x large enough. Iterating the inequality, we get

$$(8) \quad f(x - R) \geq \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^k f(x + (2k - 1)R)$$

for all positive integer k .

On the other hand, we pick points p_k so that $\text{dist}(p_k, p_0) = x + (2k - 1)R + 1$. Then by the uniform sub-exponential growth of the volume, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, since $B_{p_k}(1) \subset M \setminus B_{p_0}(x + (2k - 1)R)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + (2k - 1)R) &\geq \text{vol}(B_{p_k}(1)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C(\varepsilon)} e^{-\varepsilon(x + (2k - 1)R + 2)} \text{vol}(B_{p_k}(x + (2k - 1)R + 2)). \end{aligned}$$

But $B_{p_k}(x + (2k - 1)R + 2) \supset B_{p_0}(1)$ so that there is a constant C , depending on ε and x only such that

$$f(x + (2k - 1)R) \geq CV(1)e^{-2\varepsilon kR}.$$

We choose ε small enough such that $2\varepsilon R < \log \frac{5}{4}$. We get a contradiction to (8) when $k \rightarrow \infty$. □

4. GRADIENT SHRINKING SOLITON

A complete Riemannian metric g_{ij} on a smooth manifold M is called a *gradient shrinking Ricci soliton*, if there exists a smooth function f on M^n such that the Ricci tensor R_{ij} of the metric g_{ij} is given by

$$R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \rho g_{ij}$$

for some positive constant $\rho > 0$. The function f is called a *potential function*. Note that by scaling g_{ij} we can rewrite the soliton equation as

$$(9) \quad R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}$$

without loss of generality.

The following basic result on Ricci soliton is due to Hamilton (cf. [11, Theorem 20.1]).

Lemma 3. *Let (M, g_{ij}, f) be a complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton satisfying (9). Let R be the scalar curvature of g_{ij} . Then we have*

$$\nabla_i R = 2R_{ij} \nabla_j f,$$

and

$$R + |\nabla f|^2 - f = C_0$$

for some constant C_0 . □

By adding the constant C_0 to f , we can assume

$$R + |\nabla f|^2 - f = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

We fix this normalization of f throughout this paper.

Definition 1. *We define the following notations:*

(i) *since $R \geq 0$, by Lemma 4 below, $f(x) \geq 0$. Let*

$$\rho(x) = 2\sqrt{f(x)};$$

(ii) *for any $r > 0$, let*

$$D(r) = \{x \in M : \rho(x) < r\} \quad \text{and} \quad V(r) = \int_{D(r)} dV;$$

(iii) *for any $r > 0$, let*

$$\chi(r) = \int_{D(r)} R dV.$$

The function $\rho(x)$ is similar to the distance function in many ways. For example, by [3, Theorem 20.1], we have

$$r(x) - c \leq \rho(x) \leq r(x) + c,$$

where c is a constant and $r(x)$ is the distance function to a fixed reference point.

We summarize some useful results of gradient shrinking Ricci soliton in the following lemma without proof:

Lemma 4. *Let (M, g_{ij}, f) be a complete non-compact shrinking Ricci soliton of dimension n . Then*

- (1) *The scalar curvature $R \geq 0$ (B.-L. Chen [5], see also Proposition 5.5 in [2]);*
- (2) *The volume is of Euclidean growth. That is, there is a constant C such that $V(r) \leq Cr^n$ (Theorem 2 of [3]).*
- (3) *We have*

$$nV(r) - 2\chi(r) = rV'(r) - \frac{4}{r}\chi'(r) \geq 0,$$

In particular, the average scalar curvature over $D(r)$ is bounded by $\frac{n}{2}$, i.e. $\chi(r) \leq \frac{n}{2}V(r)$ (Lemma 3.1 in [3]);

- (4) *We have*

$$\nabla \rho = \frac{\nabla f}{\sqrt{f}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \rho|^2 = \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{f} = 1 - \frac{R}{f} \leq 1.$$

□

Using the above lemma, we prove the following result which is similar to Lemma 2:

Lemma 5. *Let (M, g_{ij}, f) be a complete non-compact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton of dimension n . Then for any two positive numbers x, r with*

$x > r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} |\Delta \rho| &\leq \frac{2n}{r} [V(x) - V(r)] + V'(r); \\ \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} |\Delta \rho|^2 &\leq \left(\frac{n^2}{r^2} + 2n \max_{\rho \in [r, x]} \frac{R}{\rho^2} \right) V(x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $R + \Delta f = \frac{n}{2}$ and $R \geq 0$, we have

$$(10) \quad \Delta \rho = \frac{\Delta f}{\sqrt{f}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{(\sqrt{f})^3} \leq \frac{\Delta f}{\sqrt{f}} \leq \frac{n}{\rho}.$$

By the Co-Area formula (cf. [14]), we have,

$$V(r) = \int_0^r ds \int_{\partial D(s)} \frac{1}{|\nabla \rho|} dA.$$

Therefore,

$$V'(r) = \int_{\partial D(r)} \frac{1}{|\nabla \rho|} dA = \frac{r}{2} \int_{\partial D(r)} \frac{1}{|\nabla f|} dA.$$

By a straightforward computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} |\Delta \rho| &\leq 2 \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \frac{n}{\rho} - \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \Delta \rho \\ &= 2 \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \frac{n}{\rho} - \int_{\partial D(x)} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} + \int_{\partial D(r)} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} \\ &\leq 2 \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \frac{n}{\rho} + \int_{\partial D(r)} \frac{1}{|\nabla \rho|} \\ &\leq \frac{2n}{r} [V(x) - V(r)] + V'(r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu = \frac{\nabla \rho}{|\nabla \rho|}$ is the normal vector to ∂D . This completes the proof of the first part of the lemma.

Now we prove the second part of the lemma. From (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad \Delta \rho &= \frac{2\Delta f}{\rho} - \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} \\ &= \frac{2}{\rho} \left(\frac{n}{2} - R \right) - \frac{1}{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{R}{f} \right) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{\rho} - \frac{2R}{\rho} + \frac{4R}{\rho^2} \\ &\geq -\frac{2R}{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} |\Delta \rho|^2 &\leq \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \frac{n^2}{\rho^2} + \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \frac{4R^2}{\rho^2} \\
 (13) \quad &\leq \frac{n^2}{r^2} [V(x) - V(r)] + \left(\max_{\rho \in [r, x]} \frac{4R}{\rho^2} \right) \chi(x) \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{n^2}{r^2} + 2n \max_{\rho \in [r, x]} \frac{R}{\rho^2} \right) V(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality above we used (3) of Lemma 4. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove

Theorem 6. *Let (M, g_{ij}, f) be a complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton. Then the L^1 essential spectrum contains $[0, +\infty)$.*

Proof. Similar to that of Theorem 1, we only need to prove the following: for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ positive and any positive real numbers ε, μ , there exists a smooth function $\xi \neq 0$ such that

- (1) $\text{supp}(\xi) \subset M \setminus B_{p_0}(\mu)$ and is compact;
- (2) $\|\Delta \xi + \lambda \xi\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon \|\xi\|_{L^1}$.

Let $a \geq 2$ be a positive number. Define a cut-off function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (1) $\text{supp} \psi \subset [0, a+2]$;
- (2) $\psi \equiv 1$ on $[1, a+1]$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$;
- (3) $|\psi'| + |\psi''| < 10$.

For any given $b \geq 2 + \mu$, $l \geq 2$ and $\lambda > 0$, let

$$(14) \quad \varphi = \psi \left(\frac{\rho - b}{l} \right) e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\rho}.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \varphi + \lambda \varphi &= \left(\frac{\psi''}{l^2} |\nabla \rho|^2 + i\sqrt{\lambda} \frac{2\psi'}{l} |\nabla \rho|^2 \right) e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\rho} \\
 &\quad + \left(i\sqrt{\lambda} \psi + \frac{\psi'}{l} \right) \Delta \rho e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\rho} + \lambda \varphi (-|\nabla \rho|^2 + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4, we have

$$(15) \quad |\Delta \varphi + \lambda \varphi| \leq \frac{C}{l} + C|\Delta \rho| + \lambda \frac{R}{f},$$

where C is a constant depending only on λ . By Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(16) \quad & \|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C}{l} [V(b + (a+2)l) - V(b)] \\
& + C \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} |\Delta\rho| + \lambda \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} \frac{4R}{\rho^2} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{C}{l} + \frac{2nC}{b} \right) [V(b + (a+2)l) - V(b)] \\
& + CV'(b) + \frac{4\lambda}{b^2} \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} R \\
& \leq \left(\frac{C}{l} + \frac{2nC}{b} \right) [V(b + (a+2)l) - V(b)] \\
& + CV'(b) + \frac{4\lambda}{b^2} \chi(b + (a+2)l).
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4, we can choose l and b large enough so that

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon V(b + (a+2)l) + CV'(b).$$

By a result of Cao-Zhu (cf. [1, Theorem 3.1]), the volume of M is infinite. Therefore we can fix b and let l be large enough so that

$$(17) \quad \|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq 2\varepsilon V(b + (a+2)l).$$

On the other hand, note that $\|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq V(b + (a+1)l) - V(b+l)$. If we choose a large enough, then we have

$$(18) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq \frac{1}{2} V(b + (a+1)l).$$

We claim that there exists a sequence $a_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $V(b + (a_{k+1}+2)l) \leq 2V(b + (a_k+1)l)$. Otherwise for some fixed number a , we have

$$V(b + (a+k)l) > 2^{k-1} V(b + (a+1)l)$$

for any $k \geq 2$, which contradicts to the fact that the volume is of Euclidean growth (lemma 4). Let a be a constant large enough such that $V(b + (a+2)l) \leq 2V(b + (a+1)l)$. By (17), (18), we have

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq 8\varepsilon \|\varphi\|_{L^1},$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6: it suffices to prove the following: for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ positive and any positive real numbers ε, μ , there exists a smooth function $\xi \neq 0$ such that

- (1) $\text{supp}(\xi) \subset M \setminus B_{p_0}(\mu)$ and is compact;
- (2) $\|\Delta\xi + \lambda\xi\|_{L^2} < \varepsilon \|\xi\|_{L^2}$.

Let $a \geq 2$ be a positive number. For any given $b \geq 2 + \mu$, $l \geq 2$ and $\lambda > 0$, let φ be defined as in (14). By (15), we have

$$|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi|^2 \leq \frac{C}{l^2} + C|\Delta\rho|^2 + C\frac{R^2}{f^2},$$

where C is a constant depending only on λ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \frac{C}{l^2}[V(b + (a+2)l) - V(b)] \\ &\quad + C \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} |\Delta\rho|^2 + C \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} \frac{16R^2}{\rho^4} \\ (19) \quad &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{l^2} + \frac{n^2}{b^2} + 2n \max_{\rho \in [b, b+(a+2)l]} \frac{R}{\rho^2} \right) V(b + (a+2)l) \\ &\quad + \frac{4C}{b^2} \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} R \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{l^2} + \frac{n^2}{b^2} + 2n \max_{\rho \in [b, b+(a+2)l]} \frac{R}{\rho^2} \right) V(b + (a+2)l) \\ &\quad + \frac{4C}{b^2} \chi(b + (a+2)l), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 5 and the fact $R \leq f = \frac{1}{4}\rho^2$. From Lemma 4, we can choose l and b large enough so that

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \varepsilon V(b + (a+2)l).$$

Note that $\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \geq V(b + (a+1)l) - V(b + l)$. If we choose a big enough, then we have

$$(20) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} V(b + (a+1)l).$$

Since the volume of M is of Euclidean growth, there is a positive number $a > 0$ such that

$$V(b + (a+1)l) \geq \frac{1}{2} V(b + (a+2)l),$$

and therefore we have

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 4\varepsilon \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The theorem is proved. □

5. FURTHER DISCUSSIONS

As can be seen clearly in the above context, the key of the proof is the L^1 boundedness of $\Delta\rho$. The Laplacian comparison theorem implies the volume

comparison theorem. The converse is, in general, not true. On the other hand, the formula ¹

$$\int_{B(R) \setminus B(r)} \Delta \rho = \text{vol}(\partial B(R)) - \text{vol}(\partial B(r))$$

clearly shows that volume growth restriction gives the bound of the integral of $\Delta \rho$. Based on this observation, we make the following conjecture

Conjecture 1. *Let M be a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature has a lower bound. Assume that the volume of M grows uniformly sub-exponentially. Then the L^p essential spectrum of M is $[0, +\infty)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$.*

Such a conjecture, if true, would give a complete answer to the computation of the essential spectrum of non-compact manifold with uniform sub-exponential volume growth.

The parallel Sturm's theorem on p -forms was proved by Charalambous [4]. Using that, Similar result of Theorem 1 also holds for p -forms under certain conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] H.D. Cao, *Geometry of complete gradient shrinking solitons*. arXiv:0903.3927v2.
- [2] ———, *Recent progress on Ricci solitons*, Adv. Lect. Math., Vol. 11, 2009, pp. 1–38.
- [3] H.D. Cao and D. Zhou, *On complete gradient shrinking Ricci solitons*. J. Differential Geom.(to appear), arXiv:0903.3932.
- [4] Nelia Charalambous, *On the L^p independence of the spectrum of the Hodge Laplacian on non-compact manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **224** (2005), no. 1, 22–48. MR2139103 (2006e:58044)
- [5] Bing-Long Chen, *Strong uniqueness of the Ricci flow*, J. Differential Geom. **82** (2009), no. 2, 363–382. MR2520796
- [6] Zhi Hua Chen and Zhi Qin Lu, *Essential spectrum of complete Riemannian manifolds*, Sci. China Ser. A **35** (1992), no. 3, 276–282. MR1183713 (93k:58221)
- [7] Harold Donnelly, *On the essential spectrum of a complete Riemannian manifold*, Topology **20** (1981), no. 1, 1–14, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(81)90012-4. MR592568 (81j:58081)
- [8] ———, *Exhaustion functions and the spectrum of Riemannian manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **46** (1997), no. 2, 505–527, DOI 10.1512/iumj.1997.46.1338. MR1481601 (99b:58230)
- [9] José F. Escobar, *On the spectrum of the Laplacian on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **11** (1986), no. 1, 63–85, DOI 10.1080/03605308608820418. MR814547 (87a:58155)
- [10] José F. Escobar and Alexandre Freire, *The spectrum of the Laplacian of manifolds of positive curvature*, Duke Math. J. **65** (1992), no. 1, 1–21, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-92-06501-X. MR1148983 (93d:58174)
- [11] Richard S. Hamilton, *The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow*, Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. II (Cambridge, MA, 1993), Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995, pp. 7–136. MR1375255 (97e:53075)

¹in the sense of distribution.

- [12] Jia Yu Li, *Spectrum of the Laplacian on a complete Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature which possess a pole*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **46** (1994), no. 2, 213–216, DOI 10.2969/jmsj/04620213. MR1264938 (95g:58248)
- [13] Peter Li and Jiaping Wang, *Comparison theorem for Kähler manifolds and positivity of spectrum*, J. Differential Geom. **69** (2005), no. 1, 43–74. MR2169582 (2006g:53111)
- [14] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry*, Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, I, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994. Lecture notes prepared by Wei Yue Ding, Kung Ching Chang [Gong Qing Zhang], Jia Qing Zhong and Yi Chao Xu; Translated from the Chinese by Ding and S. Y. Cheng; Preface translated from the Chinese by Kaising Tso. MR1333601 (97d:53001)
- [15] Karl-Theodor Sturm, *On the L^p -spectrum of uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **118** (1993), no. 2, 442–453, DOI 10.1006/jfan.1993.1150. MR1250269 (94m:58227)
- [16] Jiaping Wang, *The spectrum of the Laplacian on a manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Math. Res. Lett. **4** (1997), no. 4, 473–479. MR1470419 (98h:58194)
- [17] De Tang Zhou, *Essential spectrum of the Laplacian on manifolds of nonnegative curvature*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **5** (1994), 209 ff., approx. 6 pp. (electronic), DOI 10.1155/S1073792894000231. MR1270134 (95g:58250)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CA 92697, USA.

E-mail address: `zlu@uci.edu`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMATICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE, NITERÓI, RJ 24020, BRAZIL

E-mail address: `zhou@impa.br`

ON THE ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM OF COMPLETE NON-COMPACT MANIFOLDS

ZHIQIN LU AND DETANG ZHOU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that the L^p essential spectra of the Laplacian on functions are $[0, +\infty)$ on a non-compact complete Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature at infinity. The similar method applies to gradient shrinking Ricci soliton, which is similar to non-compact manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature in many ways.

1. INTRODUCTION

The spectra of Laplacians on a complete non-compact manifold provide important geometric and topological information of the manifold. In the past two decades, the essential spectra of Laplacians on functions were computed for a large class of manifolds. When the manifold has a soul and the exponential map is a diffeomorphism, Escobar [11] and Escobar-Freire [12] proved that the L^2 spectrum of the Laplacian is $[0, +\infty)$, provided that the sectional curvature is nonnegative and the manifold satisfies some additional conditions. In [19], the second author proved that those “additional conditions” are superfluous. When the manifold has a pole, J. Li [14] proved that the L^2 essential spectrum is $[0, +\infty)$, if the Ricci curvature of the manifold is non-negative. Z. Chen and the first author [7] proved the same result when the radial sectional curvature is nonnegative. Among the other results in his paper [10], Donnelly proved that the essential spectrum is $[0, +\infty)$ for manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature and Euclidean volume growth.

In 1997, J-P. Wang [18] proved that, if the Ricci curvature of a manifold M satisfies $\text{Ric}(M) \geq -\delta/r^2$, where r is the distance to a fixed point, and δ is a positive number depending only on the dimension, then the L^p essential spectrum of M is $[0, +\infty)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$. In particular, for a complete non-compact manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature, all L^p spectra are $[0, +\infty)$.

Complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton, which was introduced as singularity model of type I singularities of the Ricci flow, has many similar

Date: November 22, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 58J50; Secondary: 58E30.

The first author is partially supported by the NSF award DMS-0904653. The second author is partially supported by CNPq and Faperj of Brazil.

properties to complete non-compact manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. From this point of view, we expect the conclusion of Wang's result is true for a larger class of manifolds, including gradient shrinking Ricci solitons.

The first result of this paper is a generalization of Wang's theorem [18].

Theorem 1. *Let M be a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold. Assume that*

$$(1) \quad \varliminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ric}_M(x) = 0.$$

Then the L^p essential spectrum of M is $[0, +\infty)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$.

It should be pointed out that, contrary to the L^2 spectrum, the L^p spectrum of Laplacian may contain non-real numbers. Our proof made essential use of the following result due to Sturm [17]:

Theorem 2 (Sturm). *Let M be a complete non-compact manifold whose Ricci curvature has a lower bound. If the volume of M grows uniformly sub-exponentially, then the L^p essential spectra are the same for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.*

We say that the volume of M grows *uniformly sub-exponentially*, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(\varepsilon)$ such that, for all $r > 0$ and all $p \in M$,

$$(2) \quad \text{vol}(B_p(r)) \leq C(\varepsilon) e^{\varepsilon r} \text{vol}(B_p(1)),$$

where we denote $B_p(r)$ the ball of radius r centered at p .

Remark 1. Note that by the above definition, a manifold with finite volume may not automatically be a manifold of volume growing uniformly sub-exponentially. For example, consider a manifold whose only end is a cusp and the metric $dr^2 + e^{-r}d\theta^2$ on the end $S^1 \times [1, +\infty)$. The volume of such a manifold is finite. However, since the volume of the unit ball centered at any point p decays exponentially, it doesn't satisfy (2).

Remark 2. The assumption that the Ricci curvature has a lower bound is not explicitly stated in Sturm's paper, but is needed in the proof of Theorem 2.

In [17, Proposition 1], it is proved that if (1) is true, then the volume of the manifold grows uniformly sub-exponentially. Thus in order to prove Theorem 1, we only need to compute the L^1 spectrum of the manifold.

Using the recent volume estimates obtained by H. Cao and the second author [3], we proved that the essential L^1 spectrum of any complete gradient shrinking soliton contains the half line $[0, +\infty)$ (see Theorem 6). Combining with Sturm's Theorem we have the following

Theorem 3. *Let M be a complete noncompact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton. If the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds for M , then the L^p essential spectrum of M is $[0, +\infty)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$.*

Finally, under additional curvature conditions, we proved

Theorem 4. *Let (M, g_{ij}, f) be a complete shrinking Ricci soliton. If*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{R}{r^2(x)} = 0,$$

then the L^2 essential spectrum is $[0, +\infty)$.

We believe that the scalar curvature assumption in the above theorem is technical and could be removed. From [3] the average of scalar curvature is bounded and we know no examples of shrinking solitons with unbounded scalar curvature.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

Let p_0 be a fixed point of M . Let ρ be the distance function to p_0 . Let $\delta(r)$ be a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

- (a.) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \delta(r) = 0$;
- (b.) $\delta(r) > 0$;
- (c.) $\text{Ric}(x) \geq -(n-1)\delta(r)$, if $\rho(x) \geq r$.

Note that $\delta(r)$ is a decreasing continuous function. The following lemma is standard:

Lemma 1. *With the assumption (1), we have*

$$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Delta \rho \leq 0$$

in the sense of distribution.

Proof. Let g be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g''(r) - \delta(r)g(r) = 0 \\ g(0) = 0 \\ g'(0) = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then by the Laplacian comparison theorem, we have

$$\Delta \rho(x) \leq (n-1)g'(\rho(x))/g(\rho(x)),$$

in the sense of distribution. The proof of the lemma will be completed if we can show that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g'(r)}{g(r)} = 0.$$

By the definition of $g(r)$, we have $g(r) \geq 0$ and $g(r)$ is convex. Thus $g(r) \rightarrow +\infty$, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. By the L'Hospital Principal, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(g'(r))^2}{(g(r))^2} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2g'(r)g''(r)}{2g(r)g'(r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \delta(r) = 0,$$

and this completes the proof of the lemma. □

Without loss of generality, for the rest of this paper, we assume that

$$\frac{g'(r)}{g(r)} \leq \delta(r)$$

for all $r > 0$.

The following result is well-known:

Proposition 1. *There exists a C^∞ function $\tilde{\rho}$ on M such that*

- (a). $|\tilde{\rho} - \rho| + |\nabla \tilde{\rho} - \nabla \rho| \leq \delta(\rho(x))$, and
- (b). $\Delta \tilde{\rho} \leq 2\delta(\rho(x) - 1)$,

for any $x \in M$ with $\rho(x) > 2$.

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}$ be a locally finite cover of M and let $\{\psi_i\}$ be the partition of unity subordinating to the cover. Let $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_i^1, \dots, x_i^n)$ be the local coordinates of U_i . Define $\rho_i = \rho|_{U_i}$.

Let $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ be a non-negative smooth function whose support is within the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi = 1.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that all U_i are open subset of the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with coordinates $\mathbf{x}_i$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\rho_{i,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{y}_i}{\varepsilon} \right) \rho_i(\mathbf{y}_i) d\mathbf{y}_i$$

is a smooth function on U_i and hence on M . Let

$$K(x) = \sum_i (|\Delta \psi_i| + 2|\nabla \psi_i|) + 1.$$

Then $K(x)$ is a smooth positive function on M . On each U_i , we choose ε_i small enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} - \rho_i| &\leq \delta(\rho(x))/K(x); \\ |\nabla \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} - \nabla \rho_i| &\leq \delta(\rho(x))/K(x); \\ \Delta \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} &\leq \delta(\rho(x) - 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Here Lemma 1 is used in the last inequality above. We define

$$\tilde{\rho} = \sum_i \psi_i \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i}.$$

The proof follows from the standard method: let's only prove (b). in the proposition. Since

$$\Delta \tilde{\rho} = \sum_i \Delta \psi_i \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} + 2\nabla \psi_i \nabla \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} + \psi_i \Delta \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i},$$

we have

$$\Delta \tilde{\rho} = \sum_i \Delta \psi_i (\rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} - \rho_i) + 2\nabla \psi_i (\nabla \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i} - \nabla \rho_i) + \psi_i \Delta \rho_{i,\varepsilon_i}.$$

By (3), we have

$$\Delta \tilde{\rho} \leq \delta(\rho(x)) + \delta(\rho(x) - 1),$$

and the proposition is proved. $\square$

Let

$$V(r) = \text{vol}(B_{p_0}(r))$$

for any $r > 0$.

The main result of this section is (cf. [5, 8])

Lemma 2. *Assume that (1) is valid. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $R_1 > 0$ such that for $r > R_1$, we have the following*

(a). *If $\text{vol}(M) = +\infty$, then*

$$\int_{B_{p_0}(r) \setminus B_{p_0}(R_1)} |\Delta \tilde{\rho}| \leq 2\varepsilon V(r) + 2\text{vol}(\partial B_{p_0}(R_1));$$

(b). *If $\text{vol}(M) < +\infty$, then*

$$\int_{M \setminus B_{p_0}(r)} |\Delta \tilde{\rho}| \leq 2\varepsilon(\text{vol}(M) - V(r)) + 2\text{vol}(\partial B_{p_0}(r)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 1, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, we can find R_1 large enough such that

$$\Delta \tilde{\rho} < \varepsilon$$

for $x \in M \setminus B_{p_0}(R_1)$. Thus $|\Delta \tilde{\rho}| \leq 2\varepsilon - \Delta \tilde{\rho}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{p_0}(R_2) \setminus B_{p_0}(r)} |\Delta \tilde{\rho}| &\leq 2\varepsilon(V(R_2) - V(r)) \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial B_{p_0}(R_2)} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial n} + \int_{\partial B_{p_0}(r)} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial n} \end{aligned}$$

for any $R_2 > r > R_1$ by the Stokes' Theorem, where $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ is the derivative of the outward normal direction of the boundary $\partial B_{p_0}(r)$. By (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{p_0}(R_2) \setminus B_{p_0}(r)} |\Delta \tilde{\rho}| &\leq 2\varepsilon(V(R_2) - V(r)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\text{vol}(\partial B_{p_0}(R_2)) + 2\text{vol}(\partial B_{p_0}(r)). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

If $\text{vol}(M) = +\infty$, then we take $R_2 = r, r = R_1$ in the above inequality and we get (a).

If $\text{vol}(M) < +\infty$, taking $R_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ in (4), we get (b). □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section we prove the following result which implies Theorem 1.

Theorem 5. *Let M be a complete non-compact manifold satisfying*

- (1) *the volume of M grows uniformly sub-exponentially;*
- (2) *The Ricci curvature of M has a lower bound;*
- (3) *M satisfies the assertions in Lemma 2.*

Then the L^1 essential spectrum is $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. We essentially follow Wang's proof [18]. First, using the characterization of the essential spectrum (cf. Donnelly [9, Proposition 2.2]), we only need to prove the following: for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ positive and any positive real numbers ε, μ , there exists a smooth function $\xi \neq 0$ such that

- (1) $\text{supp}(\xi) \subset M \setminus B_{p_0}(\mu)$ and is compact;
- (2) $\|\Delta\xi + \lambda\xi\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon\|\xi\|_{L^1}$.

Let R, x, y be big positive real numbers. Assume that $y > x + 2R$ and $x > 2R > 2\mu + 4$. Define a cut-off function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (1) $\text{supp} \psi \subset [x/R - 1, y/R + 1]$;
- (2) $\psi \equiv 1$ on $[x/R, y/R]$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$;
- (3) $|\psi'| + |\psi''| < 10$.

For any given ε, μ and λ , let

$$\varphi = \psi \left(\frac{\tilde{\rho}}{R} \right) e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\tilde{\rho}}.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi &= \left(\frac{1}{R^2} \psi'' |\nabla \tilde{\rho}|^2 + i\sqrt{\lambda} \frac{2}{R} \psi' |\nabla \tilde{\rho}|^2 + (i\sqrt{\lambda}\psi + \frac{\psi'}{R}) \Delta \tilde{\rho} \right) e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\tilde{\rho}} \\ &\quad + \lambda\varphi (-|\nabla \tilde{\rho}|^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1,

$$|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi| \leq \frac{C}{R} + C|\Delta\tilde{\rho}| + C\delta(\rho(x)),$$

where C is a constant depending only on λ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} &\leq \left(\frac{C}{R} + C\delta(x-R) \right) (V(y+R) - V(x-R)) \\ (5) \quad &+ C \int_{B_{p_0}(y+R) - B_{p_0}(x-R)} |\Delta\tilde{\rho}|. \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{vol}(M) = +\infty$, By Lemma 2, if we choose ε/C small enough and R, x big enough and then assume y is large if necessary, we get

$$(6) \quad \|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq 4\varepsilon V(y+R).$$

Note that $\|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq V(y) - V(x)$. If we choose y big enough, then we have

$$(7) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq \frac{1}{2}V(y).$$

We claim that there exists a sequence $y_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $V(y_k + R) \leq 2V(y_k)$. If not, then for a fixed number y , we have

$$V(y + kR) > 2^k V(y)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ positive. On the other hand, by the uniform sub-exponentially growth of the volume, we have

$$2^k V(y) \leq V(y + kR) \leq C(\varepsilon) V(1) e^{\varepsilon(y+kR)}$$

for any k large and for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This is a contradiction if $\varepsilon R < \log 2$. Thus there is a y such that $V(y+R) \leq 2V(y)$, and thus by (6), (7), we have

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq 16\varepsilon\|\varphi\|_{L^1}.$$

The case when M is of infinite volume is proved.

Now we assume that $\text{vol}(M) < +\infty$. Then by Lemma 2 again

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta\psi + \lambda\psi\|_{L^1} &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{R} + 2\varepsilon + \delta(x-R)\right)(\text{vol}(M) - V(x-R)) \\ &\quad + 2C\text{vol}(\partial B_{p_0}(x-R)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $f(r) = \text{vol}(M) - V(r)$. Like above, we choose ε small and R, x big. Then

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq 4\varepsilon f(x-R) - 2Cf'(x-R)$$

for any x, y large enough. On the other hand, we always have

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq f(x) - f(y).$$

Since the volume is finite, we choose y large enough such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq \frac{1}{2}f(x).$$

Similar to the case of $\text{vol}(M) = +\infty$, the theorem is proved if the following statement is true: there is a sequence $x_k \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$2\varepsilon f(x_k - R) - Cf'(x_k - R) \leq 4\varepsilon f(x_k)$$

for all k .

If there doesn't exist such a sequence, then for x large enough, we have

$$2\varepsilon f(x - R) - Cf'(x - R) \geq 4\varepsilon f(x).$$

Replacing ε by ε/C , we have

$$2\varepsilon f(x - R) - f'(x - R) \geq 4\varepsilon f(x),$$

which is equivalent to

$$-(e^{-2\varepsilon x} f(x - R))' \geq 4\varepsilon e^{-2\varepsilon x} f(x).$$

Integrating the expression from x to $x+R$, using the monotonicity of $f(x)$, we get

$$-e^{-2\varepsilon(x+R)} f(x) + e^{-2\varepsilon x} f(x - R) \geq 2e^{-2\varepsilon x} (1 - e^{-2\varepsilon R}) f(x + R),$$

which implies

$$f(x - R) \geq 2(1 - e^{-2\varepsilon R}) f(x + R).$$

Let R be even bigger so that

$$2(1 - e^{-2\varepsilon R}) > \frac{5}{4}.$$

Then we have

$$f(x - R) \geq \frac{5}{4} f(x + R)$$

for x large enough. Iterating the inequality, we get

$$(8) \quad f(x - R) \geq \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^k f(x + (2k - 1)R)$$

for all positive integer k .

On the other hand, we pick points p_k so that $\text{dist}(p_k, p_0) = x + (2k - 1)R + 1$. Then by the uniform sub-exponential growth of the volume, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, since $B_{p_k}(1) \subset M \setminus B_{p_0}(x + (2k - 1)R)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + (2k - 1)R) &\geq \text{vol}(B_{p_k}(1)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C(\varepsilon)} e^{-\varepsilon(x + (2k - 1)R + 2)} \text{vol}(B_{p_k}(x + (2k - 1)R + 2)). \end{aligned}$$

But $B_{p_k}(x + (2k - 1)R + 2) \supset B_{p_0}(1)$ so that there is a constant C , depending on ε and x only such that

$$f(x + (2k - 1)R) \geq CV(1)e^{-2\varepsilon kR}.$$

We choose ε small enough such that $2\varepsilon R < \log \frac{5}{4}$. We get a contradiction to (8) when $k \rightarrow \infty$. □

4. GRADIENT SHRINKING SOLITON

A complete Riemannian metric g_{ij} on a smooth manifold M is called a *gradient shrinking Ricci soliton*, if there exists a smooth function f on M^n such that the Ricci tensor R_{ij} of the metric g_{ij} is given by

$$R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \rho g_{ij}$$

for some positive constant $\rho > 0$. The function f is called a *potential function*. Note that by scaling g_{ij} we can rewrite the soliton equation as

$$(9) \quad R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}$$

without loss of generality.

The following basic result on Ricci soliton is due to Hamilton (cf. [13, Theorem 20.1]).

Lemma 3. *Let (M, g_{ij}, f) be a complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton satisfying (9). Let R be the scalar curvature of g_{ij} . Then we have*

$$\nabla_i R = 2R_{ij} \nabla_j f,$$

and

$$R + |\nabla f|^2 - f = C_0$$

for some constant C_0 . □

By adding the constant C_0 to f , we can assume

$$R + |\nabla f|^2 - f = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

We fix this normalization of f throughout this paper.

Definition 1. *We define the following notations:*

(i) *since $R \geq 0$, by Lemma 4 below, $f(x) \geq 0$. Let*

$$\rho(x) = 2\sqrt{f(x)};$$

(ii) *for any $r > 0$, let*

$$D(r) = \{x \in M : \rho(x) < r\} \quad \text{and} \quad V(r) = \int_{D(r)} dV;$$

(iii) *for any $r > 0$, let*

$$\chi(r) = \int_{D(r)} R dV.$$

The function $\rho(x)$ is similar to the distance function in many ways. For example, by [3, Theorem 20.1], we have

$$r(x) - c \leq \rho(x) \leq r(x) + c,$$

where c is a constant and $r(x)$ is the distance function to a fixed reference point.

We summarize some useful results of gradient shrinking Ricci soliton in the following lemma without proof:

Lemma 4. *Let (M, g_{ij}, f) be a complete non-compact shrinking Ricci soliton of dimension n . Then*

- (1) *The scalar curvature $R \geq 0$ (B.-L. Chen [6], see also Proposition 5.5 in [2]);*
- (2) *The volume is of Euclidean growth. That is, there is a constant C such that $V(r) \leq Cr^n$ (Theorem 2 of [3]).*
- (3) *We have*

$$nV(r) - 2\chi(r) = rV'(r) - \frac{4}{r}\chi'(r) \geq 0,$$

In particular, the average scalar curvature over $D(r)$ is bounded by $\frac{n}{2}$, i.e. $\chi(r) \leq \frac{n}{2}V(r)$ (Lemma 3.1 in [3]);

- (4) *We have*

$$\nabla \rho = \frac{\nabla f}{\sqrt{f}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \rho|^2 = \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{f} = 1 - \frac{R}{f} \leq 1.$$

□

Using the above lemma, we prove the following result which is similar to Lemma 2:

Lemma 5. *Let (M, g_{ij}, f) be a complete non-compact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton of dimension n . Then for any two positive numbers x, r with*

$x > r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} |\Delta \rho| &\leq \frac{2n}{r} [V(x) - V(r)] + V'(r); \\ \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} |\Delta \rho|^2 &\leq \left(\frac{n^2}{r^2} + 2n \max_{\rho \in [r, x]} \frac{R}{\rho^2} \right) V(x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $R + \Delta f = \frac{n}{2}$ and $R \geq 0$, we have

$$(10) \quad \Delta \rho = \frac{\Delta f}{\sqrt{f}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{(\sqrt{f})^3} \leq \frac{\Delta f}{\sqrt{f}} \leq \frac{n}{\rho}.$$

By the Co-Area formula (cf. [16]), we have,

$$V(r) = \int_0^r ds \int_{\partial D(s)} \frac{1}{|\nabla \rho|} dA.$$

Therefore,

$$V'(r) = \int_{\partial D(r)} \frac{1}{|\nabla \rho|} dA = \frac{r}{2} \int_{\partial D(r)} \frac{1}{|\nabla f|} dA.$$

By a straightforward computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} |\Delta \rho| &\leq 2 \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \frac{n}{\rho} - \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \Delta \rho \\ &= 2 \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \frac{n}{\rho} - \int_{\partial D(x)} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} + \int_{\partial D(r)} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} \\ &\leq 2 \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \frac{n}{\rho} + \int_{\partial D(r)} \frac{1}{|\nabla \rho|} \\ &\leq \frac{2n}{r} [V(x) - V(r)] + V'(r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu = \frac{\nabla \rho}{|\nabla \rho|}$ is the normal vector to ∂D . This completes the proof of the first part of the lemma.

Now we prove the second part of the lemma. From (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad \Delta \rho &= \frac{2\Delta f}{\rho} - \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} \\ &= \frac{2}{\rho} \left(\frac{n}{2} - R \right) - \frac{1}{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{R}{f} \right) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{\rho} - \frac{2R}{\rho} + \frac{4R}{\rho^2} \\ &\geq -\frac{2R}{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} |\Delta \rho|^2 &\leq \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \frac{n^2}{\rho^2} + \int_{D(x) \setminus D(r)} \frac{4R^2}{\rho^2} \\
 (13) \quad &\leq \frac{n^2}{r^2} [V(x) - V(r)] + \left(\max_{\rho \in [r, x]} \frac{4R}{\rho^2} \right) \chi(x) \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{n^2}{r^2} + 2n \max_{\rho \in [r, x]} \frac{R}{\rho^2} \right) V(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality above we used (3) of Lemma 4. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove

Theorem 6. *Let (M, g_{ij}, f) be a complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton. Then the L^1 essential spectrum contains $[0, +\infty)$.*

Proof. Similar to that of Theorem 1, we only need to prove the following: for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ positive and any positive real numbers ε, μ , there exists a smooth function $\xi \neq 0$ such that

- (1) $\text{supp}(\xi) \subset M \setminus B_{p_0}(\mu)$ and is compact;
- (2) $\|\Delta \xi + \lambda \xi\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon \|\xi\|_{L^1}$.

Let $a \geq 2$ be a positive number. Define a cut-off function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (1) $\text{supp} \psi \subset [0, a+2]$;
- (2) $\psi \equiv 1$ on $[1, a+1]$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$;
- (3) $|\psi'| + |\psi''| < 10$.

For any given $b \geq 2 + \mu$, $l \geq 2$ and $\lambda > 0$, let

$$(14) \quad \varphi = \psi \left(\frac{\rho - b}{l} \right) e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\rho}.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \varphi + \lambda \varphi &= \left(\frac{\psi''}{l^2} |\nabla \rho|^2 + i\sqrt{\lambda} \frac{2\psi'}{l} |\nabla \rho|^2 \right) e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\rho} \\
 &\quad + \left(i\sqrt{\lambda} \psi + \frac{\psi'}{l} \right) \Delta \rho e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\rho} + \lambda \varphi (-|\nabla \rho|^2 + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4, we have

$$(15) \quad |\Delta \varphi + \lambda \varphi| \leq \frac{C}{l} + C|\Delta \rho| + \lambda \frac{R}{f},$$

where C is a constant depending only on λ . By Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(16) \quad & \|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C}{l} [V(b + (a+2)l) - V(b)] \\
& + C \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} |\Delta\rho| + \lambda \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} \frac{4R}{\rho^2} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{C}{l} + \frac{2nC}{b} \right) [V(b + (a+2)l) - V(b)] \\
& + CV'(b) + \frac{4\lambda}{b^2} \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} R \\
& \leq \left(\frac{C}{l} + \frac{2nC}{b} \right) [V(b + (a+2)l) - V(b)] \\
& + CV'(b) + \frac{4\lambda}{b^2} \chi(b + (a+2)l).
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4, we can choose l and b large enough so that

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon V(b + (a+2)l) + CV'(b).$$

By a result of Cao-Zhu (cf. [1, Theorem 3.1]), the volume of M is infinite. Therefore we can fix b and let l be large enough so that

$$(17) \quad \|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq 2\varepsilon V(b + (a+2)l).$$

On the other hand, note that $\|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq V(b + (a+1)l) - V(b+l)$. If we choose a large enough, then we have

$$(18) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq \frac{1}{2} V(b + (a+1)l).$$

We claim that there exists a sequence $a_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $V(b + (a_{k+1} + 2)l) \leq 2V(b + (a_k + 1)l)$. Otherwise for some fixed number a , we have

$$V(b + (a+k)l) > 2^{k-1} V(b + (a+1)l)$$

for any $k \geq 2$, which contradicts to the fact that the volume is of Euclidean growth (lemma 4). Let a be a constant large enough such that $V(b + (a+2)l) \leq 2V(b + (a+1)l)$. By (17), (18), we have

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq 8\varepsilon \|\varphi\|_{L^1},$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6: it suffices to prove the following: for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ positive and any positive real numbers ε, μ , there exists a smooth function $\xi \neq 0$ such that

- (1) $\text{supp}(\xi) \subset M \setminus B_{p_0}(\mu)$ and is compact;
- (2) $\|\Delta\xi + \lambda\xi\|_{L^2} < \varepsilon \|\xi\|_{L^2}$.

Let $a \geq 2$ be a positive number. For any given $b \geq 2 + \mu$, $l \geq 2$ and $\lambda > 0$, let φ be defined as in (14). By (15), we have

$$|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi|^2 \leq \frac{C}{l^2} + C|\Delta\rho|^2 + C\frac{R^2}{f^2},$$

where C is a constant depending only on λ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \frac{C}{l^2}[V(b + (a+2)l) - V(b)] \\ &\quad + C \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} |\Delta\rho|^2 + C \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} \frac{16R^2}{\rho^4} \\ (19) \quad &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{l^2} + \frac{n^2}{b^2} + 2n \max_{\rho \in [b, b+(a+2)l]} \frac{R}{\rho^2} \right) V(b + (a+2)l) \\ &\quad + \frac{4C}{b^2} \int_{D(b+(a+2)l) \setminus D(b)} R \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{l^2} + \frac{n^2}{b^2} + 2n \max_{\rho \in [b, b+(a+2)l]} \frac{R}{\rho^2} \right) V(b + (a+2)l) \\ &\quad + \frac{4C}{b^2} \chi(b + (a+2)l), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 5 and the fact $R \leq f = \frac{1}{4}\rho^2$. From Lemma 4, we can choose l and b large enough so that

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \varepsilon V(b + (a+2)l).$$

Note that $\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \geq V(b + (a+1)l) - V(b + l)$. If we choose a big enough, then we have

$$(20) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} V(b + (a+1)l).$$

Since the volume of M is of Euclidean growth, there is a positive number $a > 0$ such that

$$V(b + (a+1)l) \geq \frac{1}{2} V(b + (a+2)l),$$

and therefore we have

$$\|\Delta\varphi + \lambda\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 4\varepsilon \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The theorem is proved. □

5. FURTHER DISCUSSIONS

As can be seen clearly in the above context, the key of the proof is the L^1 boundedness of $\Delta\rho$. The Laplacian comparison theorem implies the volume

comparison theorem. The converse is, in general, not true. On the other hand, the formula ¹

$$\int_{B(R) \setminus B(r)} \Delta \rho = \text{vol}(\partial B(R)) - \text{vol}(\partial B(r))$$

clearly shows that volume growth restriction gives the bound of the integral of $\Delta \rho$. Based on this observation, we make the following conjecture

Conjecture 1. *Let M be a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature has a lower bound. Assume that the volume of M grows uniformly sub-exponentially. Then the L^p essential spectrum of M is $[0, +\infty)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$.*

Such a conjecture, if true, would give a complete answer to the computation of the essential spectrum of non-compact manifold with uniform sub-exponential volume growth.

The parallel Sturm's theorem on p -forms was proved by Charalambous [4]. Using that, Similar result of Theorem 1 also holds for p -forms under certain conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] H.D. Cao, *Geometry of complete gradient shrinking solitons*. arXiv:0903.3927v2.
- [2] ———, *Recent progress on Ricci solitons*, Adv. Lect. Math., Vol. 11, 2009, pp. 1–38.
- [3] H.D. Cao and D. Zhou, *On complete gradient shrinking Ricci solitons*. J. Differential Geom.(to appear), arXiv:0903.3932.
- [4] Nelia Charalambous, *On the L^p independence of the spectrum of the Hodge Laplacian on non-compact manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **224** (2005), no. 1, 22–48. MR2139103 (2006e:58044)
- [5] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding, *Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products*, Ann. of Math. (2) **144** (1996), no. 1, 189–237, DOI 10.2307/2118589. MR1405949 (97h:53038)
- [6] Bing-Long Chen, *Strong uniqueness of the Ricci flow*, J. Differential Geom. **82** (2009), no. 2, 363–382. MR2520796
- [7] Zhi Hua Chen and Zhi Qin Lu, *Essential spectrum of complete Riemannian manifolds*, Sci. China Ser. A **35** (1992), no. 3, 276–282. MR1183713 (93k:58221)
- [8] Tobias H. Colding, *Ricci curvature and volume convergence*, Ann. of Math. (2) **145** (1997), no. 3, 477–501, DOI 10.2307/2951841. MR1454700 (98d:53050)
- [9] Harold Donnelly, *On the essential spectrum of a complete Riemannian manifold*, Topology **20** (1981), no. 1, 1–14, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(81)90012-4. MR592568 (81j:58081)
- [10] ———, *Exhaustion functions and the spectrum of Riemannian manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **46** (1997), no. 2, 505–527, DOI 10.1512/iumj.1997.46.1338. MR1481601 (99b:58230)
- [11] José F. Escobar, *On the spectrum of the Laplacian on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **11** (1986), no. 1, 63–85, DOI 10.1080/03605308608820418. MR814547 (87a:58155)
- [12] José F. Escobar and Alexandre Freire, *The spectrum of the Laplacian of manifolds of positive curvature*, Duke Math. J. **65** (1992), no. 1, 1–21, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-92-06501-X. MR1148983 (93d:58174)

¹in the sense of distribution.

- [13] Richard S. Hamilton, *The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow*, Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. II (Cambridge, MA, 1993), Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995, pp. 7–136. MR1375255 (97e:53075)
- [14] Jia Yu Li, *Spectrum of the Laplacian on a complete Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature which possess a pole*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **46** (1994), no. 2, 213–216, DOI 10.2969/jmsj/04620213. MR1264938 (95g:58248)
- [15] Peter Li and Jiaping Wang, *Comparison theorem for Kähler manifolds and positivity of spectrum*, J. Differential Geom. **69** (2005), no. 1, 43–74. MR2169582 (2006g:53111)
- [16] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry*, Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, I, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994. Lecture notes prepared by Wei Yue Ding, Kung Ching Chang [Gong Qing Zhang], Jia Qing Zhong and Yi Chao Xu; Translated from the Chinese by Ding and S. Y. Cheng; Preface translated from the Chinese by Kaising Tso. MR1333601 (97d:53001)
- [17] Karl-Theodor Sturm, *On the L^p -spectrum of uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **118** (1993), no. 2, 442–453, DOI 10.1006/jfan.1993.1150. MR1250269 (94m:58227)
- [18] Jiaping Wang, *The spectrum of the Laplacian on a manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Math. Res. Lett. **4** (1997), no. 4, 473–479. MR1470419 (98h:58194)
- [19] De Tang Zhou, *Essential spectrum of the Laplacian on manifolds of nonnegative curvature*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **5** (1994), 209 ff., approx. 6 pp. (electronic), DOI 10.1155/S1073792894000231. MR1270134 (95g:58250)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CA 92697, USA.

E-mail address: zlu@uci.edu

INSTITUTO DE MATEMATICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE, NITERÓI, RJ 24020, BRAZIL

E-mail address: zhou@impa.br